

THE MUN FACTORY

All of us are dead.

BACKGROUND

Guide

Agenda: Unmasking Hyosan — International Response to the Hamby Crisis

INTRODUCTION TO THE SERIES / STORY CONTEXT

Introduction to the Series / Story Context

Hyosan High School is just another ordinary school, full of exams, crushes, and teenage drama. Until! A science experiment goes terribly wrong, unleashing a deadly virus. One infected student spreads it fast, and suddenly classmates start turning into zombies, craving human flesh instead of homework. Chaos erupts, and the hallways, classrooms, and cafeteria become battlegrounds. The story follows Cheong-san, On-jo, and their group of friends as they struggle to survive, navigating not only hordes of undead students but also the difficult choices of who to trust. Some teachers help, some betray, and every decision could mean life or death.

Outside the school, the city descends into panic. Military forces try to contain the outbreak, but the virus spreads too quickly. Families are separated, and news reports fuel fear, showing the wider impact of the epidemic. Inside, the teens must confront hunger, injuries, fear, and heartbreak while trying to find a way out. Friendships are tested, alliances shift, and some students make heroic sacrifices to save others.

Cheong-san's bravery, On-jo's quiet leadership, Nam-ra's struggle between her human heart and infected blood. All showed that even in the darkest places, humanity can shine. But it wasn't without cost. Friends were lost, sacrifices were made, and innocence was gone forever.

By the end, only a handful walked out alive. They weren't the same teenagers who entered that morning. They were scarred, wiser, and painfully aware that survival isn't victory.

It's just the beginning. Because the virus still lingers, and Hyosan's nightmare is far from over.

High school is supposed to teach you life lessons, but for these kids, the first lesson was the hardest — how to stay human when the world around you is falling apart.

Welcome to Hyosan High, where exams are useless, and your classmates might literally eat you. Zombies roam the hallways, teachers panic like it's a pop quiz, and trust is basically a death wish. Survival isn't optional, courage is screaming while chaos reigns, and friendship? Well, it's complicated. So speak up, make choices. Here, sitting out isn't an option. High school was never this deadly, but hey, at least it's unforgettable.

This time, it's not about rules, it's about survival.

CURRENT SITUATION

Current Situation (Starting Scenario for the Committee)

The city of Hyosan is now in chaos. Survivors are scattered across schools, hospitals, and police stations, with food, water, and medical supplies running dangerously low. The infected (hambies) roam unpredictably, and some humans are partially infected, creating further uncertainty.

Tensions rise not only because of the zombies but also among the survivors. Factions form as individuals and groups struggle to protect themselves, leading to conflicts and fragile alliances. The military monitors the city closely and is prepared to take extreme measures, including bombing, if the outbreak cannot be controlled.

Delegates must navigate this high-stakes environment, making decisions about resource allocation, shelter security, and alliances. Every choice will directly affect the survival of humans in Hyosan, and each crisis may force you to rethink your strategy. The challenge is to balance survival, ethics, and strategy while responding to unpredictable events.

AGENDA OVERVIEW

Agenda

Evaluating international cooperation, ethical governance, sovereignty, and collective security in the response to the Hamby crisis.

Context

The Hyosan outbreak was never contained within its own borders of meaning — it was a brutal mirror held up to the wider world. As news of the Jonas Virus spread beyond Korea, nations were forced to confront a crisis that no single government could manage alone. Antidote stockpiles are limited, research is fragmented, and every state guards its own borders even as the hambies do not recognize borders at all.

This committee convenes not to relive the halls of Hyosan High, but to decide what the world does next:

- How should antidotes and treatments be allocated fairly and effectively across nations, rather than hoarded by the wealthiest or most powerful?
- What ethical limits should govern research on the Jonas Virus and on hambies themselves, and who enforces them?
- Where does a state's right to protect its own citizens and control its own territory end, and the international community's right to intervene begin?
- Can the world build lasting collective security — shared surveillance, joint response forces, common protocols — before the next outbreak, or will it wait until it is too late again?

This council is not here to relive Hyosan's survival story — it is here to decide whether humanity can survive itself.

SUB-TOPICS

Key Agenda Sub-Topics

1. Fair and Effective Allocation of Antidotes

With antidote production limited and demand global, delegates must weigh need against capability. Should distribution prioritize the hardest-hit nations, the most populous, or those most capable of safely administering treatment? Should a centralized international body control distribution, or should it remain the sovereign right of each state to manage its own stockpile?

2. Ethical Governance: Balancing Ethics, Research, and Human Rights

Continued research on the Jonas Virus and on hambies raises urgent questions of consent, dignity, and oversight. Delegates must decide what safeguards prevent research from repeating Dr. Lee Byeong-chan's original catastrophe, and what rights, if any, are owed to those who are partially infected.

3. Upholding National Sovereignty During Global Crises

Every nation guards the right to manage crises within its own borders. But when an outbreak threatens to cross into neighboring states, does that right hold, or must it yield to collective safety? Delegates must weigh non-intervention against the risk of a sovereign state becoming a source of global contagion.

4. Promoting Collective Security

Building global unity for long-term survival when systems fail. When national institutions collapse under the weight of an outbreak, only coordinated, collective structures can hold the line. Delegates must consider what shared systems — early-warning networks, joint task forces, common legal frameworks — the world needs to survive whatever comes next. Humanity is the only way out.

HYOSAN SURVIVAL GAME SERIES

Introducing the Hyosan Survival Game Series

The Silent Spread — The Game of Shadows, Secrets, and Silent Killers.

The Code of the Dead — The Game of Escape Written in Clues and Betrayal.

The Blood Feast — The Game of Curses, Chaos, and Deadly Accusations.

Blood Pact Alliance — The Game of Survival Where Betrayal is Power.

The Last Exit — The Game of Chaos, Guilt, and Cruel Choices.

A secret game awaits — A hidden curse none of you are ready for.

STAKEHOLDERS

Stakeholders / Characters of the Hyosan Outbreak

The Hyosan crisis is shaped by survivors, families, leaders, and those transformed by the Jonas Virus. Below are the key characters and their situations at the end of Season 1.

1. Students

Nam On-Jo : Caring, resourceful, the emotional anchor of the group. Prioritizes unity and empathy.

Fate: Survives until the end, one of the last group alive.

Lee Cheong-San : Brave, selfless, willing to sacrifice. Constantly protects others.

Fate: Sacrifices himself to save friends, killed by Gwi-Nam, though not confirmed if permanently dead.

Yoon Gwi-Nam : Bully, ruthless, power-hungry. Uses infection to become stronger.

Fate: Killed during bombing, though not confirmed if permanently dead.

Choi Nam-Ra : Class president, intelligent, disciplined. Becomes a hambie, torn between humanity and hunger.

Fate: Survives as hambie, later isolates herself. Status left mysterious.

Lee Su-Hyeok : Fighter, loyal, protector of his friends.

Fate: Survives until the end with On-Jo's group.

Yang Dae-Su : Comic relief, loyal, represents innocence and friendship.

Fate: Survives until the end with On-Jo's group.

Lee Na-Yeon : Selfish, arrogant, manipulative. Blames others for infection spread.

Fate: Dies alone in quarantine, turned into zombie.

Oh Joon-Yeong : Intelligent, logical, relies on rational decisions.

Fate: Survives until bombing, but ambiguous after. Likely dead.

Jang Ha-Ri : Skilled archer, fiercely protective.

Fate: Survives with main group.

Seo Hyo-Ryung : Quiet, anxious, follower personality.

Fate: Survives, remains with the final group.

Han Gyeong-Su : Cheong-San's loyal best friend, kind-hearted and innocent. Wrongly accused by Na-Yeon of being infected.

Fate: Dies after being locked out, turns into a zombie. His death sparks tension in the group.

Yoon I-sak : Friendly, loyal and supportive. On-jo's best friend.

Fate: Bitten on the leg by a zombie and later incinerated during the bombing.

2. Families & Parents

Nam So-Ju : On-Jo's father, firefighter, brave and selfless. Helps students escape.

Fate: Dies heroically while saving the group.

Cheong-San's Mother : Loving but desperate parent searching for her son.

Fate: Dies after being bitten by zombies.

3. Other Key Characters

Jin Seon-Moo : Military commander, cold strategist, believes in bombing Hyosan to prevent spread.

Fate: Survives; last seen enforcing quarantine and controlling the situation.

Miss Park (English Teacher) : Calm, compassionate, and protective; always prioritizes her students' safety.

Fate: Bitten by a zombie while saving a student and dies, ensuring the student stays safe.

Dr. Lee Byeong-Chan : Scientist, virus creator. His experiment to stop bullying unleashed the outbreak.

Fate: Dies early in outbreak, but his laptop research becomes critical, though not confirmed if permanently dead.

Song Jae-ik (Detective) : A detective at Hyosan Police Station investigating the outbreak.

Fate: Survives till the end.

KEY DEBATE THEMES

Key Debate Themes

The Hyosan outbreak has ended, but the moral, political, and scientific battles have just begun. Delegates must now decide the future of a world that has already fallen once.

1. The Hambie Question: Cure or Eliminate?

Half-infected humans like Choi Nam-Ra walk a thin line between life and death. They can think, feel, and even love, but they hunger too.

- Should hambies be seen as a threat or as a new form of humanity?
- Should scientists be allowed to experiment on them in search of a cure?
- Can a “Hambie Rights Act” exist in a world built on fear?

2. The Role of the Military

Commander Jin Seon-Moo controls the quarantine zones with an iron fist.

- Is such authority necessary in crisis, or is it becoming dictatorship?
- How can military control be balanced with survivor freedom?
- Should the military be tried for bombing innocent civilians?

3. Ethics of Science and Experimentation

Dr. Byeong-Chan's virus began as a moral failure. Now scientists walk the same path again.

- Should virus research continue under government watch?
- Can the Jonas Virus be weaponized or reversed?

4. Resources, Refugees, and Rebuilding Civilization

Inside refugee camps, hunger and chaos rule.

- How should food, medicine, and shelter be divided?
- Who deserves priority — survivors, scientists, or soldiers?
- What kind of governance should replace the fallen system?

5. Truth, Media, and Government Secrecy

The government hides the real death toll. Journalists fight to uncover it.

- Should the truth be told, even if it causes panic?
- Does transparency strengthen or weaken a wounded society?

GUIDING QUESTIONS FOR DELEGATES

Guiding Questions for Delegates

- Is a hambie still a human being or a biological threat that must be neutralized?
- Should martial law continue until the virus is confirmed extinct, or should democratic governance be restored immediately?
- Was the military's bombing of Hyosan a necessary act of containment or a human rights violation?
- Should the Hyosan outbreak be treated as a national crisis or a global biological threat requiring UN intervention?
- Was the bombing of Hyosan a justified act of containment or a war crime?
- Should scientists be allowed to continue experimenting on the virus?
- What system of law and governance should replace the old one?
- If Nam-Ra's hambie group can live peacefully, do they deserve recognition as a new species?
- Can the virus be ethically weaponized for national security, or does that repeat the same mistake that started the outbreak?
- Do hambies represent the next stage of human evolution, and if so, what happens to those left behind?
- Should resources like food and medicine be distributed equally, or prioritized for skilled survivors (doctors, soldiers, scientists)?
- How can a new constitution or survival charter ensure stability without repeating past corruption?
- Should hambies be cured, executed, or integrated into society?
- If the virus resurfaces in another nation, who holds jurisdiction — the national government or the international community?
- Should antidotes be allocated by need, by capability, or by an internationally agreed formula — and who decides?
- Should Hyosan be rebuilt as a memorial, a new city, or erased from existence entirely?

REFERENCES & RESOURCES

References & Resources

- All of Us Are Dead (2022) — Netflix Original Series, Episodes 1–12.
- AOUD Wiki & Fandom Database — Character timelines and virus studies.
- Interviews with show creators & post-apocalypse research essays.